

[PRICE \$2½ PER MONTH]

—

THEATRE ROYAL,
CITY HALL, HONGKONG.

THE AMATEUR DRAMATIC CLUB OF
HONGKONG
have the honour to announce their
THIRD PERFORMANCE OF THE
SEASON,
or
THURSDAY EVENING,
4th December, 1873,
when will be repeated the Farcical Comedy
in Three Acts,
or
H. J. BYRON, Esquire,
butlered
NOT SUCH A FOOL AS HE LOOKS."

Doors open at 8.30 P.M. Performances to
commence at 9 o'clock punctually.

Tickets may be secured at Messrs. LANE,
BAWSEMAN & Co's, on and after MONDAY,
the 1st December, at Noon, where a plan of the
theatre may be seen.

1873. Hongkong, 28th November, 1873.

FOR SINGAPORE, LONDON, AND HAM-
BURG, via SUEZ CANAL.

The Steamship

"OLEMPIA."

Antwerp, Muster, will be despatched TO-DAY,
the 1st December, at 2 P.M., as above.

For freight, apply to

SIEMSEN & Co.
1840—Hongkong, 1st December, 1873.

FOR SWATOW, AMOY, AND POOCHOON,
THE Steamship

Burnie, will be d
TO MICHIGAN

For Freight or Passage, apply to
DOUGLAS LAFFRANCE & Co.
1943 Hongkong, 26th Nov., 1938.

FOR HOLLAND
The Spanish Barque
"PEPITA,"
Captain Aldeco, will have quick dispatch as
above.
For Freight or Passage, apply to
REMBEDIOS & Co.
of 1944 Hongkong, 26th Nov., 1938.

NOTICE
THE CHINA FIRE INSURANCE COM-
PANY, LIMITED.
The Transfer Book of the Company will be
CLOSED from the 15th to the 31st inst.,
both days inclusive.
JAS. B. GUTHRIE,
Secretary.
1-m 1947 Hongkong, 3rd Dec., 1937.

DRUMRUM & DEWILL

17, GRAHAM TREE
to inform LADIES

LIST OF GOODS
Gambuena Articles, Rampore White Chunder,
Indian Shawls, Gowns, Bed Cloths, Cashmere
Dresses, Dressing Gowns, Dressing Gowns, Ladies'
Dressing Gowns, Ladies' Berms, Gentlemen's
Coats, Children's Coats, Gentlemen's Shippers,
Ladies' Shippers, Children's Shippers, Ladies' Stock-
ings, Children's Stockings, Ladies' Stockings, Dis-
tillery, Dolly's, Shawls, Children's Shawls,
Sindri Gown Boxes, Large Tube Covers, Small
Tube Covers, Chair Covers, Couch Covers,
Glass Boxes, Lard Boxes, Toilet Boxes, Wash-
ing Boxes, Soap Boxes, Sandalwood Covers,
Envelope Boxes, Writing Desks, Book Stands,
Albans, Water, Stride, Paper, Knives, Large
Sundry Articles, one Box containing 12 boxes,
one Box containing 8 boxes, one Box containing
5 boxes, Children's Toys.

The above articles will be taken to the resi-
dences of Ladies or Gentlemen, on a request
to that effect being forwarded.

1st 1848 - Hongkong, 1st December, 1873.

RIES for the above

12 NESDAY, the 3rd December, 1873.
P. C. CHESHIRE,
Clerk of the Court.

sd 1951 Canton, 4th S number, 1873.

WANTED.—A SURETY for the steamer
"Mikado," for the voyage hence to Dun-
edin and Sydney.

Apply to
RUSSELL & Co.
1935 Hongkong, 23rd November, 1873.

MR. EUGENIO DENEGRI requests that
all claims against him be collected in
one, and he is traveling by the mail of the 4th pro-
-ximo at Canton.

—Hong Kong, 22nd November, 1873. A1902

NOTICE OF EQUIVAL.

The undersigned have REMOVED their
OFFICE to GEORGE STREET and SHIPBOARD
LIFT, DEPARTMENT into the premises
on PRIDARS WARE, formerly occupied
by Messrs. THOS. HUNT & Co. The Auction
Department remains on the old premises in
Queen's Road.

LAMBERT, ATKINSON & Co.
1m 1875 Hongkong, 7th November, 1873.

NOTICE

NOTICE

TO CONSIGNEES OF OPTIONAL CARGO
EX OS. C. CO'S S. **ACHILLES**,
FROM L. B. TERPOLDT
Shipping Orders may be obtained from
the undersigned not later than the 1st
December, for shipment per **NESTOR**.
BUTTERFIELD & SWIRE, Agents,
44 1934 Hongkong, 24th November, 1873.

OCEAN STEAMSHIP COMPANY.

CONSIGNEES per Company's steamer
ACHILLES are hereby notified that this
cargo is being discharged into Craft, in order
at the Godown of the Consignee, in which
case it will be at Consignee's risk. The cargo
will be ready for delivery from Craft or Godown
on and after the 24th November, 1873.
Goods undelivered before 1st December, 1873,
will be subject to Rent.
BUTTERFIELD & SWIRE, Agents,
84 1916 Hongkong, 24th November, 1873.

**COMPAGNIE DES MESSAGIERES
MARITIMES.**

ASSIGNEES of the

To the undersigned for countersignature, and
 to take immediate delivery; this Cargo has been
 bonded and stored at their risk and expense.
 No fire insurance has been effected.
 O. HEDLAND, Principal Agent.
 Es "Melting," 28th October, 1873.
 FA. No. 3 Libox.
 RM Nov. 11/4 4 cases.
 YAL
 SS in heart, No. 1 1 case.
 Es "Stinch," 6th November, 1873.
 MY No. 15 1 case Sundries.
 HF
 Hongkong, 20th November, 1873.

To be Let.

HOUSE No. 7 in Queen Road, at present in the occupation of the Hon. Justice PAUNCECOTE.
Apply to
DAVID SASSOON, SONS & Co.
11836 Hongkong, 28th November, 1873.

TWO large and very nice HOUSES, No. 2 and 3, and a GODOWN No. 2, on Playa East, Marine lot No. 65. The House No. 2 with immediate possession; the other No. 3, with the Godown, on the 16th December, 1873. For particulars, apply to the SPANISH PROCONSUL, Chinese Road, No. 10.
In 1830 Hongkong, 20th November, 1873.

OFFICES on the first floor of premises on Pender's Wharf.
Apply to
LAMBERT, ATKINSON & Co.
11834 Hongkong, 20th November, 1873.

TWO ROOMS in Nuhlu Lane. Water and Gas laid on.
Apply to
A. MILLAR & Co.
11802 Hongkong, 31st October, 1873.

THE "BLUE BUNGALOW," situated at Possession from the 1st of November next.
Apply to
B. R. BELLIOS.
11836 Hongkong, 3rd October, 1873.

WITH RESERVATION.
N. 10, UPPER MOSQUE TERRACE, a CORNER HOUSE, with good view; Four Rooms, Bath and Dressing Rooms, Out-Door, 42. Water laid on. Rent, Fifty dollars per month.
Apply to
T. G. LINTSEAD.
11827 Hongkong, 28th October, 1873.

A THREE STOREY Comfortable HOUSE, in a respectable locality, Halfway Road, having nine rooms and a fine view of the whole Harbour. Gas and Water laid on.
Apply to
No. 12, HOLLYWOOD ROAD.
11844 Hongkong, 4th September, 1873.

THE HOUSES No. 3, 6, and 12 in Seymour Terrace.
Apply to
DAVID SASSOON, SONS & Co.
11835 Hongkong, 16th August, 1873.

COMMODIOUS GODOWN and OFFICE in Queen's Road.
LANE, ORAWAY & Co.
11847 Hongkong, 15th July, 1873.

DEATH.
On November 30th, EDWARD JONAS FAO, of New York, aged 49 years. The funeral will leave his residence in Queen Road today, at half-past three o'clock P.M.

The Daily Press.

HONGKONG, DECEMBER 1st, 1873.

It is satisfactory that the difficulty which arose in the course of the proceedings of *Lendin v. The King of Annam*, ended without any further proceedings in public, with reference to the unfortunate dispute which had arisen between the Solicitors as to who represented the King. No doubt, at first, the matter must have appeared of a somewhat grave character, as otherwise the Judges would not have thought it necessary to adjourn from Chambers into open Court; but as it was subsequently allowed to drop under the form of Mr. STREZZANZ, the applicant for the motion, withdrawing, and the Court consenting to take the affidavit of the file, we are justified in concluding that it did not appear, upon ultimate investigation, to be so serious as first imagined.

As the matter is now at an end, and the affidavits withdrawn, it is not necessary to enter into it more fully than in so far as it bears upon legal etiquette generally in Hongkong. It is the theory at home that it is contrary to etiquette for Solicitors to do anything which may have the slightest element in it of seeking for business, but, like many other theories, this one is carried out very badly in practice. In an indirect way business of all kinds is constantly solicited by legal men at home, and there are certain clauses of business, such, for example, as being constituted standing solicitors to companies, and other like institutions, which even the rigid etiquette at home does not prevent people from asking for, almost without any reservation or disguise. With regard to Barristers, the rule is no doubt more rigid, and in some circuits we believe it is not considered etiquette for a barrister to dine with a solicitor. He is expected to act like young ladies. He may be as willing and perhaps even as anxious to get a brief as they to get an offer, but he must wait till he is proposed to. With Solicitors, however, even the theory is not so strict, and in practice, there is no doubt that a good deal of business in legal, as well as other matters, is got by asking for it.

There must, of course, be always a difficulty in drawing a line in a place like Hongkong, where people are thrown so much together, but in this, as in many similar matters, the nature of the place is such as will necessitate a little more latitude being allowed than would be the case elsewhere. Of course it would be very undesirable that Solicitors should be going round the town endeavouring to obtain the conduct of every case likely to arise, and anything of this kind would speedily cure itself, as sensible people would be very loath to employ Solicitors who resorted to such practices. But here people are constantly thrown together, and business is certain to be solicited in one form or another. A man may not go to a possible litigant, and directly ask him for his case, but a good deal may be done in the course of conversation at dinners, at the Club, or at other places, which, practically amounts to the same thing, and it is pretty well known that soliciting business in the latter way is by no means unheard of in Hongkong. The real point in the question is not so much whether a Solicitor endeavours to get such business as may turn up in the natural course of affairs, as whether, when an opportunity offers, he acts in a way to foster litigation. As long as this is not done, there is nothing very grave, outside questions of etiquette, in a man offering to conduct a given case, or seeking to be employed in a matter which must of necessity arise. A certain etiquette in such matters is, of course, necessary, and the Judges, as heads of the legal profession here, are naturally anxious that a sound tone should pervade it. But a too rigid application of etiquette is very apt to defeat its own object, and merely throw power into the hands of those who are the greatest adepts at evading it. The effect of an over application of etiquette in this respect very much has been looked upon as undesirable and implicit for these reasons.

No doubt, views to some extent of this character influenced the Judges in deciding to accede to the application, to allow the matter to drop; and, as a means of avoiding an unseemly exhibition, they certainly exercised a sound discretion in so doing. Chief Justice STANLEY is so well known to be so anxious to keep up the high standing of the Profession in all its branches, that the public will doubtless feel perfect confidence that he was satisfied in the present case that it was unnecessary to push the matter further, and that he will keep a watchful eye over any deviations from etiquette which may be of serious importance. We repeat, that we do not in any way express an opinion that in the present instance, such was the fact; but it is desirable to prevent the impression which might otherwise be conveyed by the termination of the recent proceedings, that the Chief Justice is not possessed of full powers to put a stop to anything like fostering unbecomingly or unseemly litigation, or is not quite ready to exercise his powers when occasion requires him.

H. R. Sir Arthur Kennedy visited Rear Admiral Seymour on board H.M.S. *Victor* at noon on Saturday, and on leaving, the usual salute of seventeen guns was fired.

The steamer *Chinkiang* arrived at Shanghai on the 28th ult., and the *Adeline* on the 29th ult. The steamer *Stink* left for that port on the 28th ult., and the *City of Exeter* on the 29th.

H.M.S. *Academy* will shortly proceed to the Aberdeen Dock, to have her propeller shaft looked to. She draws 21ft. of water, and is one of the largest vessels that has been docked here.

The steamer *Academy* returned to port yesterday, having broken down outside the Lyceum Pier. She was towed to the pier, and either dropped off or slipped off on the pier. She will as soon as possible proceed to the dock, and meantime is having her cargo discharged.

A very noticeable change took place in the temperature in the course of Saturday, the thermometer having fallen some 15 or 16 degrees during the day, from 83 to 68 degrees between 1 p.m. and 4 p.m. The Chinese anticipated a storm, and the junks went over in large numbers to Kowloon, but fortunately the fears anticipated proved unfounded.

On Saturday a large number of Scotch gentlemen met at the banquet, already mentioned as about to come off, in celebration of St. Andrew's Day. The guests were seated at long tables, where the large guest room was suitably arranged and decorated, the well known portrait of the old Saint forming a conspicuous object. Some of the speeches delivered, especially those on Scotch Literature and the Scotch Universities, were admirable oratorical displays, and the proceedings went off throughout with great good feeling and enthusiasm.

Saturday's *Gazette* notifies that complaints having been made as to blocking up the Praya East by the transport of timber, &c., the road, no permission will be given to close the road, except for the purpose of clearing the hours of 8 a.m. and 9 a.m., and of 3.30 p.m. and 7 p.m. It is also notified that a Special Session of the Judges of the Peace will be held on Tuesday, the 3rd inst., at 10 o'clock in the forenoon of Tuesday, the 3rd inst. of January next, and thereafter, on the First Tuesday of every month, for the purpose of considering applications for granting or transferring licences, under the Act of 1871. Such applications must be lodged at the Police Magistrate's Office at least ten days before each of the Sessions now notified.

The Legislative Council is to meet to-morrow, at 2.30 p.m., when Ordinances will be introduced for providing for the registration of Trade Marks in the Colony; for the creation of light houses; and for the regulation of the examination of prisoners. The drafts of the first two Ordinances are given at length, as being of special interest to the general public. The third Ordinance is a modification of the Bill of 1872, and for the regulation of the examination of prisoners. The drafts of the first two Ordinances are given at length, as being of special interest to the general public. The third Ordinance is a modification of the Bill of 1872, and for the regulation of the examination of prisoners.

SUPREME COURT.

25th November.

IN SUMMARY JURISDICTION.

BEFORE THE HON. JUSTICE PAUNCECOTE.

CHOW-AY-YE HUNG-KWONG-MAN, Foreign Attachment claim for \$18000. Mr. Sharp appeared for the defendant, and Mr. Sturges for the plaintiff.

Mr. Sharp asked for an adjournment to Tuesday, and remarked that very probably the parties before that would settle the case between themselves.

His Lordship said if the case could not be finished that day, although he would be only too happy to enter into it, still it would be only settling unless some one to begin a case he could not finish, to the satisfaction of the parties. He had asked the Chief Justice to sit for him on Tuesday next, whilst he finished as far as possible cases already opened. He trusted the parties would be able to settle the case, and would meet in the negotiations for a compromise.

Mr. Sturges, who saw no probability of the case being likely to be nearly finished that day, made no objection to the case being put back.

CHOW-AY-YE HUNG-KWONG-MAN, Foreign Attachment claim for \$18000. This case was one in which His Lordship remarked on some former occasion that it was a case of a promissory note, and was adjourned from last Tuesday to Tuesday next. Mr. Sturges, who had been retained in the case, informed his Lordship that it had been settled.

His Lordship expressed great satisfaction, remarking that considering what it had attained to, another adjournment would not finish it, and consequently he would have had to leave it unfinished.

Before the Court rose, Mr. Sharp, on behalf of the defendant, expressed regret at his Lordship's sudden departure from his duties, but he was not to be deterred by this, and he was certain to be solicited in one form or another. A man may not go to a possible litigant, and directly ask him for his case, but a good deal may be done in the course of conversation at dinners, at the Club, or at other places, which, practically amounts to the same thing, and it is pretty well known that soliciting business in the latter way is by no means unheard of in Hongkong.

POLICE INTELLIGENCE.

25th November.

BURNED BY THE THIEF.

Yow-ah-lo, a THIEF, residing at No. 7, Station Street, charged a complaint named Mak-ee-sun, as follows:—

Complainant stated that she had been sick for some time, and on the 27th ult. sent her little girl into the cook house for something to eat, and returned and saw a man speaking a strange language was there, on her entering, and he had tried to induce her to go to Macao, and offered to give her cash. The man then went away, and took with him a jacket valued 50 cents, which was lying on the table in the cook house. Yesterday, the 28th, she went with her little girl, and the defendant was pointed out to her, as the man who took her jacket, and had tried to induce her to go to Macao. She had not yet had her jacket returned.

Defendant said on the 27th inst. the defendant in a kidnapping case to come on, told him to go to the house of complainant and stay. He did so, and next morning, on complainant's leaving, and the defendant in the kidnapping case, told him he was to go to Macao to be sold as a pig, because he had eaten their food and not paid for it; and because he refused to go, the complainant had ordered him to be sold. When he was a choir, residing in Aberdeen Street, No. 370 (see such number), the defendant in the case to come on, who was called Mak-ee-sun, told him to go to the house of complainant's house, he believed, born in Hongkong ten years. He knew nothing about the jacket. The case had been trumped up out of the kidnapping case.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Mr. Mitchell said this witness's evidence was worthless.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Andant was found in the kitchen, covered over with an iron pot, by the police, who brought him outside, when he saw the jacket produced drop from the defendant, also a shirt, both belonging to one of his shopmen. The door of the kitchen was broken open, and the jacket which appeared to have been broken to gain entrance by some person. He did not see the defendant break in. The jacket was safe at 5 p.m. on the 28th. No person saw the door broken open or the defendant enter. This took place on the 28th. The defendant was found in the kitchen, covered over with an iron pot, by the police, who brought him outside, when he saw the jacket produced drop from the defendant, also a shirt, both belonging to one of his shopmen. The door of the kitchen was broken open, and the jacket which appeared to have been broken to gain entrance by some person. He did not see the defendant break in. The jacket was safe at 5 p.m. on the 28th. No person saw the door broken open or the defendant enter. This took place on the 28th.

Defendant said on the 27th inst. the defendant in a kidnapping case to come on, told him to go to the house of complainant and stay. He did so, and next morning, on complainant's leaving, and the defendant in the kidnapping case, told him he was to go to Macao to be sold as a pig, because he had eaten their food and not paid for it; and because he refused to go, the complainant had ordered him to be sold. When he was a choir, residing in Aberdeen Street, No. 370 (see such number), the defendant in the case to come on, who was called Mak-ee-sun, told him to go to the house of complainant's house, he believed, born in Hongkong ten years. He knew nothing about the jacket. The case had been trumped up out of the kidnapping case.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th. It was her mother who told her she had seen the defendant on the 28th. No person had told her what to say.

Lord, the defendant, deposed, to being sent to the cook house to procure tea, and on arrival seeing the defendant, who made her understand he was looking for a friend. On her return to the cook house she found the defendant, who asked her to take tea with him. She did not see the defendant take the jacket. This happened on the 28th. Witness altered this statement and said that her mother said she had seen the defendant take the jacket, and again altered this, and said she was a little girl, and made a mistake. Two defendant took two jackets, one on the 27th and one on the 28th

